

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/865,775	05/25/2001	Pi-Yuan Shin	FIP-827FP13	5774
20808	7590 07/12/2005	•	EXAM	INER
BROWN & MICHAELS, PC			NGUYEN, VAN KIM T	
400 M & T BANK BUILDING 118 NORTH TIOGA ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ITHACA, N	IY 14850		2151	
	·		DATE MAILED: 07/12/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/865,775	SHIN, PI-YUAN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		2151		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Van Kim T. Nguyen n appears on the cover sheet w			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 April 2005			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und		•		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	and the d		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	i iist oi trie certified copies not	received.		
Augustus (V.)				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗀 Intentions	Summary (PTO-413)		
 Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3. Patent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/865,775

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to communications filed on April 25, 2005.

Applicant's arguments, see page 5, paragraph 3, with respect to claims 2, 5, 8, and 11 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 2, 5, 8, and 11 has been withdrawn. The revision of the abstract has been received and accepted.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because the unlabeled graphical lines A, B, C, D or shaded/non-shaded areas shown in Figure 5 should be provided with descriptive text labels.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/865,775 Page 3

Art Unit: 2151

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 9-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art, in view of Badie et al (US 5,490,219).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 7, and 10, as shown in Figures 1-2, the admitted prior art discloses a transmission direction switching device (200) for a half-duplex communication apparatus, the half-duplex communication apparatus including a UART (130) and a half-duplex communication interface driver (140) connected to the UART via a sending line (170, 190) and to the transmission direction switching device (200) via a direction control line (210); the transmission direction switching device comprising:

a data transmission detector (203) coupled to the sending line for detecting any data to be sent and sending that data such data exists; and

a direction-switching rule executor (204) for receiving the data sent out by the data transmission detector, and sending a direction switching signal via the direction control line to the half-duplex communication interface driver to set a transmission direction of the half-duplex communication interface driver to a sending direction (170) or receiving direction (190) based on whether when the data receiver from the data transmission detector is a signal 0 (low) or 1 (high). (See page 2: line 26 – page 7: line 5).

As shown in Figures 1-5, Badie teaches a half-duplex communication apparatus (400) having signal subtraction function (100; col. 2: line 30 – col. 3: line 49).

As it is highly desirable to be able to send and receive clear, error-free signals, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Badie's communication device having subtraction (noise canceling/reducing/eliminating) function in a communication system, motivated by the desire to provide a quality communication system in which signals received are the same as signals sent.

Regarding claims 2, 5, 8, and 11, the admitted prior art also discloses the half-duplex communication interface driver is in compliance with RS-485 standard. (page 2: lines 15-26).

Regarding claims 3, 6, 9, and 12, the admitted prior art also discloses the transmission direction switching device is a programmable logic device (202: Figure 2).

However, the admitted prior art does not call for the half-duplex communication interface driver having signal subtraction function.

As shown in Figures 1-5, Badie teaches a half-duplex communication apparatus (400) having signal subtraction function (100; col. 2: line 30 – col. 3: line 49).

As it is highly desirable to be able to send and receive clear, error-free signals, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Badie's communication device having subtraction (noise canceling/reducing/eliminating) function in a communication system, motivated by the desire to provide a quality communication system in which signals received are the same as signals sent.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed April 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., *not* detecting messages from *both* the bus 100 and from the sending line the start bit) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/865,775

Art Unit: 2151

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Van Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Van Kim T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2151 Page 6

vkn

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER